

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. DOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/552,701	04/19/2000	Shigemasa Haruhiko	1248-0497P-SP	3009
75	90 09/15/2003			
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAMINER	
PO Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			PUENTE, EMERSON C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2184	15
•.			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PRY			
the state of the s	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/552,701	HARUHIKO ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Emerson C Puente	2184				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a)						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a) will not be entered or be would be rejected is provided belo	will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disapr	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:	, ., ., .,	-				

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amending phrase "in which" to "comprising" raise new issue that would require further consideration and/or search.

Application/Control Number: 09/552,701

Art Unit: 2184

Advisory Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4,6, 8-11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Japanese Patent No. 01-223586 of Omichi et al. referred hereinafter "Omichi".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5,7,12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § **103(a)** as being unpatentable over Omichi in further view of US Patent No 5,818,848 of Lin et al. referred hereinafter "Lin".

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100